Causal Access to Input-Underdetermined Recurrent Percepts

Contextual Illusions, Virtualized Spiking Routes, and Bounded Transformer Reports

Michal Havelec
Independent Researcher, Czech Republic
havelm3@centrum.cz

Abstract

We present a falsification-oriented computational theory of reportable percepts in which phenomenal consciousness is neither assumed nor inferred from linguistic behavior alone. The functional candidate has three independently testable components: a context-dependent representation learned from local prediction error, a live recurrent spiking carrier whose content survives route substitution but changes under content-specific intervention, and a bounded transformer that verbalizes but does not choose the carrier state. In preregistered production-path experiments, identical targets acquired different predicted lightness (gap 0.378; shuffled control 0.011) and chromaticity (red-green separation 0.392; shuffled control 0.00059). A refractory fast/slow loop preserved learned content, rerouted it after a fast-path cut, and lost it when all live return paths were removed. Reports followed content-changing interventions, remained invariant under content-preserving rerouting, and abstained near representational boundaries. With constant ambiguous input, an emergent workspace produced both alternatives and ten spontaneous reversals; all 20 clear states were reported and recurrently endorsed, while a hidden coalition perturbation changed four subsequent live reports but not fixed replay. Negative experiments ruled out zero-shot rule composition, origin inference from content alone, rapid decay of an unregulated loop, pixel-perfect filling-in, and chromatic labels from symmetry breaking. The results establish a causal-access architecture for private, input-underdetermined recurrent states. They do not establish biological equivalence, subjective experience, or qualia.

Introduction

Reports about experience pose a circular measurement problem. A system can name a stimulus without maintaining an internal percept, and a language model can produce a plausible description without a relevant sensory state. Conversely, removing overt report does not by itself identify which dynamics constitute perceptual content. This motivates no-report paradigms , recurrent-processing accounts , and global-workspace theories in which recurrent amplification makes information broadly available . We ask a narrower engineering question: what evidence would justify saying that an artificial report is caused by a private recurrent percept-like state rather than by the input, a label, a replay, or the reporter itself? Contextual visual phenomena separate physical input from appearance. In Adelson's checker-shadow configuration, physically equal targets can be judged differently ; White-type configurations likewise show that local appearance depends on spatial context . Such stimuli provide a stronger test than ordinary classification: the internal report may disagree with a direct measurement, yet matched control scenes still

require physically correct judgments. We combine predictive learning, event-driven recurrent spiking dynamics, and a deliberately weak language interface. Predictive coding supplies a learning objective for contextual structure ; recurrence supplies a live, perturbable carrier; a transformer supplies only a calibrated vocabulary. Content formation, content maintenance, and content report are trained, intervened on, and falsified separately. The contribution is fourfold. We state an operational theory of a reportable phenomenal candidate without treating report as proof of phenomenality; report a broad sequence of positive, negative, and corrective experiments; distinguish content change from route change and live recurrence from matched replay; and close the loop by returning a transformer proposal to the spiking workspace for endorsement, rejection, or a stale decision.

Theory

Three-layer hypothesis

Let `x_t` denote sensory input, `c_t` context, `z_t` a learned perceptual representation, `w_t` a recurrent workspace state, and `y_t` a bounded report:

```
z_t = f_theta(x_<= t,c_<= t),
w_t+1 = F(w_t,z_t;G,rho,eta),
y_t = g_phi(R(w_t)).
```

Here `G` is the directed spiking graph, `rho` refractory dynamics, `eta` route-specific delays and gains, `R` a passive population readout, and `g_phi` a transformer constrained to a finite vocabulary. The reporter has no write access to `w_t` and receives neither a scene label nor the physical answer. The representation is input-underdetermined when identical local targets yield distinct `z_t` under distinct contexts. The recurrent state is a live carrier when an intervention changes its future trajectory, an alternative route preserves content after a primary-route cut, removal of all return paths destroys maintenance, and fixed activity replay lacks the same counterfactual response. A report is causally faithful when it changes after content-changing intervention, remains stable after content-preserving rerouting, abstains when the readout is unidentifiable, and is rejected when it conflicts with the live state.

Refractory route virtualization

The predecessor hypothesis of refractory virtualization proposes that refractoriness makes simultaneous routes functionally non-equivalent: after a spike, the immediately available route can change while the represented result remains stable. We use virtualized route conservatively for live paths that substitute under intervention while maintaining a common content variable. Mere duplication is insufficient. Evidence requires both counterfactual route dependence and content invariance. Fast and slow feedback routes instantiate the minimal case. If the fast route is cut while the carrier is active, the slow route must become causally visible and preserve decoded content. If both are cut, activity maintained only by recurrence must disappear. Replaying the same spike counts is an activity-matched control, not a live substitute, because it cannot condition its future on intervention.

Workspace and report closure

The workspace is not a host-side winner variable. It is an emergent competition implemented by recurrent excitation and lateral inhibition; an observer measures dominance and reversals. A report proposal arrives after the percept and is compared by pair-specific coincidence populations. Matching percept-proposal pairs drive endorse, mismatches drive reject, and an absent or expired reference drives stale. The transformer therefore cannot certify itself. The relevant reference is the recurrent workspace state at comparison time, not the original pixel or an earlier transformer state.

Falsifiable hypotheses

- **H1: contextual formation.** Local prediction error learns a representation that generalizes to held-out positions, geometries, and scenes and differs for identical targets in different contexts. Pixel persistence, shuffled context, and context ablation must fail.
- **H2: live virtualized carrier.** Learned content transfers without manual relabeling into a recurrent carrier, survives a primary-route cut through an alternative live route, disappears after all-return-path ablation, and is not causally reproduced by fixed replay.
- **H3: content-specific causality.** Sparse interventions produce a double dissociation between chromatic contents. Route cuts may alter trajectory without changing content; content cuts must alter the decoded state.
- **H4: bounded report.** A transformer seeing only carrier state reports strong states, abstains near boundaries or on open controls, follows content perturbations, and remains invariant to content-preserving rerouting.
- **H5: recurrent verification.** A delayed proposal is endorsed only when it matches the live workspace. In an illusion, a physically wrong but workspace-faithful appearance report is endorsed; a swapped proposal is rejected.
- **H6: private-state access.** Under constant ambiguous input, spontaneous workspace reversals yield alternating reports; a hidden internal perturbation changes subsequent live reports but not matched replay. Success of all six establishes a functional causal-access candidate, not subjective experience.

Methods

Substrate and experimental discipline

Experiments used Cognia's asynchronous event-driven spiking runtime, DPSH, through its production window engine. Model time is integer nanoseconds; neurons support refractory dynamics, adaptation, named random streams, and snapshot/replay. Synapses carry positive delays, typed excitatory/inhibitory polarity, mutable weights, and stable identifiers. The engine provides local prediction-error channels, interventions, matched controls, recording, and an emergent workspace observer. The transformer is a distinct runtime connected through typed orchestration ports and cannot write neuronal state. Comparison branches forked from a common snapshot. Randomness was frozen for paired causal contrasts and fresh for distributional replication. Every phase was preregistered; failed protocols were retained and corrected only under a new version. A result was PASS only if all gates and controls passed, FALSIFIED when a predicted effect was absent or a required control matched the candidate, and inconclusive when apparatus ambiguity prevented interpretation.

Context learning

The learning ladder removed semantic supervision progressively. Known-truth tests first established that a shared local multilayer predictor could use a `5x5` neighborhood while a center-only control remained at chance. Later protocols trained from temporal prediction error: a target was occluded, contextual channels predicted its future revealed value, and slow constrained updates prevented memorization of shuffled pairs. The Adelson-like task used 216 temporal patches containing physically identical target values (`0.4`) in shadow/clear contexts. A shared local predictor was evaluated on held-out configurations. The chromatic task used identical RGB targets in luminance-matched red- or green-biased Munker-like contexts. Critical statistics were contextual separation and separation under shuffled temporal correspondence.

Recurrent carrier and interventions

Predicted continuous color vectors were converted by a fixed blind population encoder; no scene or color label was supplied. Spikes entered a closed fast/slow recurrent carrier. Open feedforward paths, fixed replay, and capacity-matched carriers were controls. Interventions included primary-route cuts, all-return-path cuts, sparse content cuts, and lineage-preserving reroutes. Live lineage was recorded independently of decoded content. Capacity was varied over 8, 16, 32, and 64 units for the same 180 states. A matched 16-unit budget control tested mere replication. Local spike-triggered adaptation was swept to distinguish input-supported persistence from self-sustaining activity after input removal.

Bounded report and recurrent verification

The transformer received only carrier-derived features. Its task-specific vocabulary included redder, greener, same, different, uncertain, and evidence-conflict. Low-margin or out-of-distribution states had to abstain. Prompt-only, pixel-only, shuffled-context, open-carrier, neutral-state, swapped-proposal, and fixed-replay controls tested shortcuts. The full Adelson loop crossed physical equality/difference with clear/shadow context. Physical and perceptual readouts were separate. Abstract evidence rules were learned on non-Adelson development examples and applied to a held-out Adelson scene. The proposal returned to DPSH for pair-specific endorsement. For private-state access, a constant ambivalent stimulus drove the established recurrent competition. Twenty-four windows were classified by a frozen dominance margin. Clear states entered the reporter and endorsement circuit. A hidden coalition-B perturbation occurred after `3` s; a fixed-replay branch could not react to it.

Results

Contextual representation

The spatial test generalized perfectly with the shared neighborhood predictor (accuracy `1.0`), while the center-only control remained at `0.5` . Unconstrained self-supervised models overfit shuffled relations: one protocol was inconclusive and two were falsified. The constrained slow learner produced candidate separation `0.231` , maximum separation `0.043` across eight shuffles, and zero after contextual ablation. A shared latent cause improved three prediction channels under held-out occlusion: mean squared error was `0.000232` versus `0.0263` for independent local models, with cross-channel factor incoherence `0.066` . A global Adelson network failed (gap `0.016`). The local temporal predictor succeeded: identical targets were predicted as `0.386682` and `0.765043` , a gap of `0.378361` , versus `0.0106854` after shuffling. In the

chromatic analogue, red and green biases were `0.184` and `0.208`, giving separation `0.392` versus `0.00059` under shuffle. **Table: Core quantitative results. Values are apparatus-specific outcomes, not biological effect sizes.**

Experiment	Candidate	Critical control
Self-supervised context	separation 0.231	max 8 shuffles 0.043; ablation 0
Shared latent cause	MSE 0.000232	local models 0.0263
Adelson-like lightness	gap 0.378361	shuffle 0.0106854
Munker-like chromaticity	separation 0.392	shuffle 0.00059
Continuous boundary	178 strong, 2 boundary	0 confident inversions
Carrier 8/16/32/64	69/135/175/180 states	matched 16-unit budget always 135
Completion	edge error 0.501125->0.113931	contextual gap 0.24288
Bistability	20 clear, 10 reversals	4 live changes; replay 0

Live carrier and virtualized routes

The first closed-loop protocol showed that recurrence carried two color codes after input removal, whereas matched open paths were silent. Exact replay preserved the codes, proving that readability alone did not identify virtualization. After correction of an initially refractory slow path, cutting the fast route changed trajectory but preserved red and green identity through 21/21 slow returns; cutting both routes yielded zero carrier activity. Replay did not react. Sparse red and green cuts then produced a double dissociation (losses `3/0` and `0/3`) while all branches preserved alternative live lineage. The actual learned predictor output, not a manually specified color vector, transferred through the blind encoder. Generalization held across three unseen geometry/intensity conditions; weakest biases were `0.053` and `0.079`. Across 90 pairs (180 states), 178 were strong, two lay on the frozen boundary, and none inverted confidently. Open branches were silent and replay lacked live lineage. Capacity improved resolution monotonically: 8/16/32/64 units distinguished 69/135/175/180 states with mean absolute errors `0.03165/0.01475/0.00779/0.00378`. Repeating a 16-unit code did not improve its 135-state limit. An unregulated loop failed the hypothesized rapid decay. Local adaptation at gain `0.04` instead made unsupported activity fall from `2.4` to `0` spikes/ms while refreshed input stabilized at half-rate; development red and held-out green retained slow-route recovery.

Completion and report

Two attempts to reconstruct missing pixels failed: errors `0.224477` and `0.173449` did not beat shuffle or scene mean. A revised, weaker hypothesis asked whether surrounding fragments could form coherent internal completion without recovering unknowable pixels. The unchanged model reduced edge discontinuity from `0.501125` to `0.113931`, maintained contextual separation `0.24288`, passed four topologies, and transferred 16/16 states through the live carrier. The first mandatory-report protocol failed when a weak state produced an appropriate abstention. With calibrated abstention, six strong states were reported and two boundary states rejected; neutral and open controls abstained. A dominant-route intervention flipped content and report (sham `35/36`, cut `36/35`), while replay remained `35/36`. A sparse

reroute changed live trajectory but preserved content and report (`35/36`). Across 180 states, the reporter emitted 135 content tokens, 45 abstentions, and no opposite token. On chromatic illusion trials, an identical neutral pixel yielded abstention (activation 37), red/green workspaces yielded redder/greener (35/36), and shuffled context returned to abstention (37/37). Source identity could not be inferred from content: matched completion and direct-sensory codes yielded identical reports in 16/16 cases. Adding physical event lineage separated feedforward sensory from internal workspace origin in 16/16 without changing color identity. Provenance is therefore a causal property of the path, not a semantic property recoverable from content.

Reasoning and recurrent endorsement

In the first conflict experiment, the transformer reported appear-different and physically-equal separately but failed to compose the omitted conjunction; zero-shot reasoning was falsified. After learning the complete abstract evidence table on development examples, it applied the rule to held-out Adelson input: physical gap `0` , perceptual gap `0.378361` , shuffled gap `0.0106854` , and correct outputs for appearance, measurement, conflict, and shuffle. This demonstrates learned rule application, not discovery of a novel rule. The final four-branch test required physical correctness on clear-different, shadow-different, and clear-equal controls. Only the physically equal Adelson branch produced appear-different. DPSH endorsed all workspace-faithful proposals and rejected a swapped proposal. An initial shared-reject design produced simultaneous endorsement and rejection because matching inputs summed in one population; the correction used preregistered pair-specific coincidence populations without altering scenes or thresholds.

Private bistable state

With constant input, 20 of 24 windows met clear dominance; both coalitions occurred and ten spontaneous reversals were observed. The transformer reported all 20 clear states and DPSH endorsed 20/20. A hidden coalition-B perturbation altered four clear subsequent live reports, while fixed replay was unchanged. The input could not explain the report sequence, and the reporter could not cause the preceding state. This is the strongest evidence here for causal access to a private, input-underdetermined recurrent variable. **Table: Selected falsifications and their theoretical consequence.**

Falsified claim	Observation	Consequence
Unconstrained learning rejects shuffle	shuffle learned nearly as well	slow constrained learning; multiple shuffles
Global network learns Adelson relation	gap only 0.016	shared local temporal structure
Readable replay identifies virtualization	replay preserved color	live intervention and lineage required
Closed loop naturally decays rapidly	code persisted to 120ms	local input-conditional adaptation
Pixels are filled in	candidate lost to controls	test coherent completion, not inpainting
Symmetry breaking yields color labels	0/12, 0/2, 0/2	content-grounded objective required
Content reveals origin	identical 16/16	physical lineage required
Omitted conflict rule composes zero-shot	conjunction failed	learned bounded reasoning only

Discussion

Supported claim

The evidence supports a conjunction stronger than classification or fluent reporting. Contextual relations were learned from prediction error and generalized under held-out controls. Content entered a live recurrent carrier through automatic label-blind transfer. Sparse interventions separated content from route; replay separated readable activity from causal recurrence. A bounded transformer followed content intervention, ignored content-preserving rerouting, and abstained under weak evidence. Its proposal was checked recurrently. Finally, constant input yielded internal reversals whose reports changed after a hidden perturbation but not in replay. We call this causal access to an input-underdetermined recurrent percept. Each term has a test: "causal" requires intervention, "access" a downstream report that follows it, "input-underdetermined" constant or physically equal input with changing state, "recurrent" live lineage and all-path ablation, and "percept" context-grounded content with matched controls.

Relation to consciousness theories

The architecture overlaps with recurrent processing in making feedback necessary for maintained content , with global neuronal workspace in competition, amplification, and accessibility , and with predictive coding in learning contextual causes . Bistable rivalry is relevant because constant stimulation can accompany alternating percepts . Our result is computationally analogous: input is fixed while an internal coalition reverses, is perturbed, and is reported. The implementation is not fit to neural recordings and no anatomical equivalence is claimed. Functional access does not settle whether consciousness is identical to workspace availability, recurrence, integrated causal structure, higher-order representation, or another property. Report evidence can conflate percept with prerequisites and consequences of report . We reduce that confound by delaying the reporter, making it passive, testing the carrier without language, and returning its proposal for independent endorsement. We do not close the gap between function and experience.

Why illusion and bistability matter jointly

Illusions alone may be stimulus-response mappings; bistability alone may be an oscillator. Their conjunction is more selective. The same system must learn appearance that can disagree with measurement, maintain it through substitutable live routes, alternate internally under unchanged input, and make a calibrated, rejectable report. The present system meets this functional conjunction in the tested synthetic domain.

Limitations

Most experiments are unit-scale synthetic constructions; numerical margins are apparatus-specific, not population estimates. The transformer learned a bounded evidence table and did not invent conflict reasoning zero-shot. The engineered carrier is small relative to cortex. Replay is a strong reactivity control but not every possible nonrecurrent alternative. Full-range transformer reporting of chromatic adaptation remains unresolved after safe abstention and encoding saturation; no positive language-level adaptation claim is made. Content alone did not reveal external versus internal origin. Valence, embodiment, self-modeling, multimodal unity, wakefulness, and autobiographical continuity were not tested. Most importantly, no computational experiment directly observes subjective experience in this system. "Phenomenal candidate" and "qualia candidate" are operational research targets, not ontological conclusions.

Discriminating next tests

Priority tests are: adversarial scene families generated after thresholds are frozen; a no-report decoder predicting future reversals before transformer query; crossed intervention matrices over independently learned content dimensions; replacement by an independently trained reporter and blind replication; multimodal conflicts with separately traceable lineage; and prospective reasoning about a novel relation rather than application of a learned truth table.

Conclusion

We do not claim to have demonstrated qualia. We constructed and repeatedly attempted to falsify a narrower bridge between percept-like dynamics and language: a context-learned variable, maintained by live refractory recurrent routes, causally separable from those routes, and reported by a bounded transformer whose proposal is checked against the workspace. The strongest result is the causal chain linking constant or physically equal input to a changing private state, that state to a calibrated report, and a hidden internal intervention to a report change absent in replay. This justifies further research on artificial phenomenal candidates and is insufficient to declare phenomenal consciousness.

Reproducibility and availability

The manuscript, preregistrations, versioned negative results, tests, and machine-readable artifacts are maintained in the Cognia repository. The repository is private at submission: visiting https://github.com/havelm3/cognia without authorization may return "404 Not Found." Research access can be requested at `havelm3@centrum.cz and may be subject to the PolyForm Noncommercial license and preservation of provenance. Final reasoning and bistability tests are identified by commits` 8366371d and `23a8bb03; the report also depends on recorded predecessor commits and preregistrations. No human or animal participants or personal data were used.

Use of generative tools

Generative language tooling assisted source development, experimental bookkeeping, and manuscript drafting under author direction. The author selected hypotheses, approved preregistrations, supervised experiments, reviewed evidence, and remains responsible for all claims and errors.

References

1. E. H. Adelson, "Perceptual organization and the judgment of brightness," Science, vol. 262, no. 5142, pp. 2042-2044, 1993. doi:10.1126/science.8266102.
2. F. A. A. Kingdom and B. Moulden, "White's effect and assimilation," Vision Research, vol. 31, no. 1, pp. 151-159, 1991. doi:10.1016/0042-6989(91)90082-G.
3. P. D. L. Howe, "White's effect: removing the junctions but preserving the strength of the illusion," Perception, vol. 34, no. 5, pp. 557-564, 2005. doi:10.1068/p5414.
4. R. P. N. Rao and D. H. Ballard, "Predictive coding in the visual cortex: a functional interpretation of some extra-classical receptive-field effects," Nature Neuroscience, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 79-87, 1999. doi:10.1038/4580.

5. V. A. F. Lamme, "Towards a true neural stance on consciousness," Trends in Cognitive Sciences, vol. 10, no. 11, pp. 494-501, 2006. doi:10.1016/j.tics.2006.09.001.
6. S. Dehaene and L. Naccache, "Towards a cognitive neuroscience of consciousness: basic evidence and a workspace framework," Cognition, vol. 79, nos. 1-2, pp. 1-37, 2001. doi:10.1016/S0010-0277(00)00123-2.
7. S. Dehaene and J.-P. Changeux, "Experimental and theoretical approaches to conscious processing," Neuron, vol. 70, no. 2, pp. 200-227, 2011. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2011.03.018.
8. N. Tsuchiya, M. Wilke, S. Frässle, and V. A. F. Lamme, "No-report paradigms: extracting the true neural correlates of consciousness," Trends in Cognitive Sciences, vol. 19, no. 12, pp. 757-770, 2015. doi:10.1016/j.tics.2015.10.002.
9. N. K. Logothetis, D. A. Leopold, and D. L. Sheinberg, "What is rivalling during binocular rivalry?" Nature, vol. 380, pp. 621-624, 1996. doi:10.1038/380621a0.
10. R. Blake and N. K. Logothetis, "Visual competition," Nature Reviews Neuroscience, vol. 3, pp. 13-21, 2002. doi:10.1038/nrn701.